Software Rights Archive, LLC ("SRA") and L. Daniel Egger¹ oppose the motion for continuance. For many months, and again over the last 24 hours, SRA's counsel has tried to ascertain what legitimate purpose is served by having a belated, later-filed case on the docket. The accused infringers have never offered any plausible explanation.

Declaratory Judgment Plaintiffs ask that the Court delay the presentation and submission of SRA's Motion to Dismiss, Transfer or Stay, which has been on file for over five months, so that they may obtain discovery purportedly concerning personal jurisdiction over SRA. But SRA's motion is supported by independent bases that indisputably have nothing to do with, and are unaffected by, the discovery sought by these Plaintiffs—including the pendency of a first-filed case in Texas and a lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Declaratory Judgment Plaintiffs' stated reason for continuance ignores this fact. They have forced SRA to litigate this dispute on multiple fronts for too long, and SRA is entitled to have its motion heard.

In addition, now that the Eastern District of Texas has found that SRA has standing, that case will not be dismissed anytime soon. The Texas defendants have recently filed a motion to transfer venue in the Eastern District of Texas, seeking transfer to this Court. As is customary, the issue of venue will be decided in the regular order by the Court in which the first-filed case is pending.

SRA and Mr. Egger ask that the Court deny the motion for continuance and hear the Motion to Dismiss, Transfer, or Stay on May 22 as scheduled.

¹ Declaratory Judgment Plaintiffs have raised questions about the undersigned's representation of Site Tech in their motion to strike Site Tech's responsive pleading. Although the undersigned believes that its representation of Site Tech was proper, in light of the Plaintiffs' accusations, and in light of the fact that Site Tech's corporate status is currently suspended, this opposition is filed on behalf of SRA and Egger alone.

1	Respectfully submitted,
2	/s/ Lee L. Kaplan
3	Thomas F. Smegal, Jr. (Bar No. 34,819) One Sansome Street, 35th Floor
4	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 217-8383
5	Facsimile: (415) 399-5093 Email: tomsmegal@smegallaw.com
6	Lee L. Kaplan (Texas Bar No. 11094400)
7	Kristen L. McKeever (Texas Bar No. 24030775) Raj Duvvuri (Texas Bar No. 24054185)
8	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 700 Louisiana Street, Suite 2300
9	Houston, TX 77002 Telephone: (713) 221-2300 Facsimile: (713) 221-2320
10	Email: lkaplan@skv.com
11	Jay D. Ellwanger (California Bar No. 217747)) DiNovo Price Ellwanger & Hardy LLP
12	7000 North MoPac Expressway Suite 350
13	Austin, Texas 78731 Telephone: (512) 539-2630
14	Facsimile: (512) 539-2627 Email: jellwanger@dpelaw.com
1516	Attorneys for Defendants L. Daniel Egger
17	and Software Rights Archive, LLC
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Software Rights Archive, LLC's and L. Daniel Egger's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing instrument has been forwarded to all counsel of record pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on this the
3 30 th day of April, 2009.	30 th day of April, 2009.
4	/s/ Lee L. Kaplan
	Lee L. Kaplan Juanita R. Brooks
5	Jason W. Wolff
6	Fish & Richardson P.C. 12390 El Camino Real
7	San Diego, California 92130
8	Thomas B. Walsh, IV
	Fish & Richardson P.C. 5000 Bank One Center
9	1717 Main St.
10	Dallas, Texas 75201
11	Ramon K. Tabtiang Stephen A. Marshall
12	Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street
13	Boston, Massachusetts 02110-2804
14	Michael A. Jacobs Richard S.J. Hung
15	Morrison & Foerster 425 Market Street
16	San Francisco, CA 94105
17	Claude M. Stern Jennifer A. Kash
18	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP
	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 Redwood Shores, CA 9406
19	Mark D. Baker
20	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP 51 Madison Ave., 22 nd Floor
21	New York, New York 10010
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	Software Rights Archive, LLC's and L. Daniel Egger's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for

Software Rights Archive, LLC's and L. Daniel Egger's Opposition to Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion for Continuance of the Briefing Schedule and Hearing on the Motions Set for May 22, 2009 CASE NO. CV08-03172